LONG TERM CONSEQUENCES OF SCHOLARSHIPS FOR PRIVATE SECONDARY SCHOOL IN COLOMBIA

Michael Kremer, Harvard

(with Eric Bettinger-Stanford, Maurice Kugler-Impaq, Carlos Medina, Banco de la República, Christian Posso, UNC, Juan E. Saavedra, USC)

PACES scholarships

- During 1990s, Colombia's PACES program provided over 125,000 scholarships to private secondary schools.
- Only poor students eligible.
- Scholarships were renewable conditional on grade progression.
- Low scholarship value relative to government school costs:
 - \$244 vs. \$450 per student/year.
 - Scholarships could be topped up
- Scholarships randomly assigned when demand exceeded supply.

PACES scholarships

- Students were required to apply and be accepted at a private school before applying for the scholarship.
 - Students could apply to either academic or vocational private schools.
- Vocational schools were over-represented among participating private schools.
 - Vocational schools are less prestigious than academic schools.
 - Trade-focused: commercial and industrial are the most common trades.
- Among vocational school applicants, scholarship winners did not attend schools with better peers.

PACES scholarships

3 years after the lottery	Vocati Scho Applio	ool	Academic Applic	
	Winners Losers		Winners	Losers
Attending a vocational school	60%	43%	3.7%	6.3%
Attending an academic school	27%	43%	80%	75%
Attending a private school	70%	53%	69%	54%
Using PACES scholarship	48%	1.5%	53%	5%

Data

- Data from Bogotá 1995 scholarship lottery.
 - 4,044 applicants, 59% awarded a scholarship.
 - 43% of applicants applied to a vocational school.
- Link lottery data to various national administrative data sources to examine:
 - Long term secondary completion (on-time, ever).
 - Tertiary education access and persistence.
 - Labor market outcomes near age 30.
 - Family formation.
 - Welfare receipt.

Secondary education outcomes

	All Applicants		Applicants to Academic Secondary Schools		Applicants to Vocation Secondary Schools	
	Loser's	Won a	Loser's	Won a	Loser's	Won a
	Mean	Scholarship	Mean	Scholarship	Mean	Scholarship
	(s.d)	(s.e)	(s.d)	(s.e)	(s.d)	(s.e)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Applicant graduated on schedule	0.438	0.072	0.440	0.062	0.461	0.083
	(0.496)	(0.015)***	(0.497)	(0.02)***	(0.499)	(0.024)***
Applicant graduated with up to a two-year delay	0.513	0.061	0.502	0.059	0.557	0.063
	(0.500)	(0.015)***	(0.500)	(0.02)***	(0.497)	(0.023)***
Applicant graduated with up to a four-year delay	0.538	0.053	0.528	0.048	0.576	0.063
	(0.499)	(0.014)***	(0.500)	(0.02)**	(0.495)	(0.023)***
Applicant graduated with up to a six-year delay	0.550	0.053	0.537	0.052	0.593	0.059
	(0.498)	(0.014)***	(0.499)	(0.02)***	(0.492)	(0.023)***
N	1666	4044	887	2121	670	1652

Tertiary Education	All Ap	pplicants	Applicants to Academic Secondary		Applicants to Vocational Secondar		
_				hools	Schools		
Outcomes	Loser's	Won a	Loser's	Won a	Loser's	Won a	
	Mean	Scholarship	Mean	Scholarship	Mean	Scholarship	
	(s.d)	(s.e)	(s.d)	(s.e)	(s.d)	(s.e)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Ever enrolled in tertiary education	0.184	0.028	0.187	-0.001	0.185	0.078	
,,,,	(0.387)	(0.012)**	(0.390)	(0.016)	(0.389)	(0.02)***	
Ever enrolled in a vocational college	0.062	0.017	0.068	0.004	0.060	0.036	
	(0.242)	(0.008)**	(0.251)	(0.011)	(0.237)	(0.013)***	
Ever enrolled in a university	0.129	0.016	0.125	(0.001)	0.137	0.047	
Ever emolied in a university	(0.335)	(0.011)	(0.331)	(0.001)	(0.344)	(0.018)***	
	(0.000)	(0.011)	(0.001)	(0.02.)	(0.0)	(0.020)	
Enrolled in tertiary education as of 2012	0.036	0.021	0.032	0.025	0.039	0.024	
	(0.186)	(0.007)***	(0.175)	(0.009)***	(0.193)	(0.011)**	
5 11 1: 1 11 (2012	0.000	0.000	0.040	0.000	0.006	0.007	
Enrolled in vocational college as of 2012	0.008 (0.091)	0.003 (0.003)	0.010 (0.100)	0.000 (0.004)	0.006 (0.077)	0.007 (0.005)	
	(0.031)	(0.003)	(0.100)	(0.004)	(0.077)	(0.003)	
Enrolled in university as of 2012	0.028	0.018	0.021	0.025	0.033	0.016	
·	(0.164)	(0.006)***	(0.145)	(0.008)***	(0.178)	(0.01)*	
Enrolled in private institution as of 2012	0.030	0.016	0.026	0.023	0.036	0.012	
	(0.171)	(0.006)***	(0.159)	(0.008)***	(0.186)	(0.010)	
Enrolled in a public institution as of 2012	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.012	
Zimened in a pasite institution as of 2012	(0.077)	(0.003)**	(0.075)	(0.004)	(0.055)	(0.004)***	
	, ,	, ,	. ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	
Graduated from tertiary education as of 2012	0.048	0.009	0.051	(0.006)	0.048	0.027	
	(0.214)	(0.007)	(0.220)	(0.009)	(0.213)	(0.012)**	
Voors of toutions adsection	0.405	0.074	0.400	(0.011)	0.412	0.200	
Years of tertiary education	0.405 (1.175)	0.074 (0.038)*	0.409 (1.178)	(0.011) (0.050)	0.413 (1.197)	0.208 (0.064)***	
N	1666	4044	887	2121	670	1652	

Tertiary Education	All A	pplicants		Applicants to Academic Secondary		icants to
_				Schools		chools
Outcomes	Loser's	Won a	Loser's	Won a	Loser's	Won a
	Mean	Scholarship	Mean	Scholarship	Mean	Scholarship
	(s.d)	(s.e)	(s.d)	(s.e)	(s.d)	(s.e)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Ever enrolled in tertiary education	0.184	0.028	0.187	-0.001	0.185	0.078
Ever emoned in tertiary education	(0.387)	(0.012)**	(0.390)	(0.016)	(0.389)	(0.02)***
	(0.007)	(0.012)	0.000/	(0.010)	(0.003)	(0.02)
Ever enrolled in a vocational college	0.062	0.017	0.068	0.004	0.060	0.036
	(0.242)	(0.008)**	(0.251)	(0.011)	(0.237)	(0.013)***
Ever enrolled in a university	0.129	0.016	0.125	(0.001)	0.137	0.047
	(0.335)	(0.011)	(0.331)	(0.014)	(0.344)	(0.018)***
Enrolled in tertiary education as of 2012	0.036	0.021	0.032	0.025	0.039	0.024
Emolica in tertiary cadeation as of 2012	(0.186)	(0.007)***	(0.175)	(0.009)***	(0.193)	(0.011)**
	(0.200)	(5.55.)	(0.2.0)	(0.000)	(3.23)	(====,
Enrolled in vocational college as of 2012	0.008	0.003	0.010	0.000	0.006	0.007
	(0.091)	(0.003)	(0.100)	(0.004)	(0.077)	(0.005)
Enrolled in university as of 2012	0.028	0.018	0.021	0.025	0.033	0.016
	(0.164)	(0.006)***	(0.145)	(0.008)***	(0.178)	(0.01)*
Enrolled in private institution as of 2012	0.030	0.016	0.026	0.023	0.036	0.012
Emolica in private institution as of 2012	(0.171)	(0.006)***	(0.159)	(0.008)***	(0.186)	(0.010)
	,	(**********	(()	((3.3.2.7)
Enrolled in a public institution as of 2012	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.012
	(0.077)	(0.003)**	(0.075)	(0.004)	(0.055)	(0.004)***
	0.040	0.000	0.054	(0.005)	0.040	0.007
Graduated from tertiary education as of 2012	0.048	0.009	0.051	(0.006)	0.048	0.027
	(0.214)	(0.007)	(0.220)	(0.009)	(0.213)	(0.012)**
Years of tertiary education	0.405	0.074	0.409	(0.011)	0.413	0.208
	(1.175)	(0.038)*	(1.178)	(0.050)	(1.197)	(0.064)***
N	1666	4044	887	2121	670	1652

Earnings near age 30

	All Applicants		• • •	Applicants to Academic Secondary Schools		to Vocational ary Schools
All values in US Dollars	Loser's Mean (s.d) (1)	Won a Scholarship (s.e) (2)	Loser's Mean (s.d) (3)	Won a Scholarship, (s.e) (4)	Loser's Mean (s.d) (5)	Won a Scholarship (s.e) (6)
Lower Bound on Annual Informal Earnings (observed						
earnings from SISBEN 2010)	2,481.17	-159.16	2,632.29	-294.24	2,347.71	-22.19
	(5,888.27)	(200.48)	(7,794.76)	(362.00)	(2,418.83)	(155.85)
Extreme Upper Bound on Annual Informal Earnings						
(SISBEN earnings trimming top 5% of loser earners	1,992.21	315.34	2,010.55	309.85	2,078.82	254.82
	(1,580.35)	(75.792)***	(1,615.70)	(106.39)***	(1,606.33)	(119.34)**
Mean Annual Formal Sector Earnings 2008-2014	2,439.16	166.02	2,383.02	56.99	2,594.06	346.64
	(3,014.49)	(98.91)*	(2,933.56)	(125.08)	(3,199.26)	(174.89)**
Mean Annual Payroll Taxes 2008-2014	687.01	46.84	670.82	11.67	731.54	102.67
·	(876.43)	(28.76)	(861.84)	(36.45)	(920.51)	(50.70)**
N	1622	3926	865	2053	652	1612

Earnings near age 30

	All Applicants		Applicants to Academic Secondary Schools		Applicants to Vocational Secondary Schools	
All values in US Dollars	Loser's Mean (s.d) (1)	Won a Scholarship (s.e) (2)	Loser's Mean (s.d) (3)	Won a Scholarship, (s.e) (4)	Loser's Mean (s.d) (5)	Won a Scholarship (s.e) (6)
Lower Bound on Annual Informal Earnings (observed						
earnings from SISBEN 2010)	2,481.17	-159.16	2,632.29	-294.24	2,347.71	-22.19
	(5,888.27)	(200.48)	(7,794.76)	(362.00)	(2,418.83)	(155.85)
Extreme Upper Bound on Annual Informal Earnings						
(SISBEN earnings trimming top 5% of loser earners	1,992.21	315.34	2,010.55	309.85	2,078.82	254.82
	(1,580.35)	(75.792)***	(1,615.70)	(106.39)***	(1,606.33)	(119.34)**
Mean Annual Formal Sector Earnings 2008-2014	2,439.16	166.02	2,383.02	56.99	2,594.06	346.64
	(3,014.49)	(98.91)*	(2,933.56)	(125.08)	(3,199.26)	(174.89)**
Mean Annual Payroll Taxes 2008-2014	687.01	46.84	670.82	11.67	731.54	102.67
	(876.43)	(28.76)	(861.84)	(36.45)	(920.51)	(50.70)**
N	1622	3926	865	2053	652	1612

Fertility

	All femal	e applicants	Female Applicants to Academic Schools		Female Applicants to Vocational Schools	
	Loser's	Won a	Loser's	Loser's Won a		Won a
	Mean	Scholarship	Mean	Scholarship	Mean	Scholarship
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
			SISBEN	2010 data		
Had any child as a teen	0.375	-0.061	0.405	-0.094	0.33	-0.027
		(0.03)**		(0.042)**		(0.044)
Total number of children	1.355	0.009	1.378	0.054	1.250	0.038
	(1.030)	(0.061)	(1.025)	(0.086)	(0.991)	(0.093)
One or more children	0.776	-0.002	0.784	0.020	0.755	-0.012
		(0.025)		(0.036)		(0.040)
Two or more children	0.435	-0.014	0.446	0.000	0.385	-0.008
		(0.030)		(0.043)		(0.045)
Three or more children	0.109	0.011	0.113	0.015	0.085	0.034
		(0.019)		(0.028)		(0.027)
N	451	1053	222	514	200	464

Welfare analysis (in progress)

- Benefits to recipient ≈ \$250.
- Likely negative net fiscal cost to taxpayers:
 - NPV of future tax revenue likely outweighs program costs to government.
- Externalities would have to be negative and sizeable for the program not to be welfare enhancing:
 - About 20% of extra NPV of extra earnings for winners (~\$1,100).
 - E.g. signaling, peer effects, job rationing.
- Prior evidence that peer effects don't drive results (BKS 2010).
- No evidence of rationing into formal sector jobs.
- Positive externalities likely (reduced teen fertility, social impact of intergenerational mobility).

Key results

- Winning a scholarship:
 - Increases on-time secondary school graduation by 20%.
 - Increases secondary school graduation by 10%.
 - Increases tertiary education access by 16% and completion/ persistence by 40%.
 - Decreases female teen fertility by 20%.
 - Increases formal labor market earnings by 5-15%.
- Educational and labor market estimates are strongest among vocational school applicants.
- Female teen fertility estimates driven by girls who applied to academic schools.

Conclusions

 Important to conduct further evaluations in other contexts since institutional details may matter (e.g. contrasting U.S. results on vouchers).

END

Potential channels of impact

On winners

- Allow children to attend private school.
- For those pre-disposed to attend private schools, wider choice of schools, income transfer.
- Increased incentives to pass grades, for students (effort), schools (effort, lowering the bar).
- Student-school match quality.

Potential externalities?

- Changing student peers (but see BKS).
- Labor market signaling, job rationing.
- Lucas effects, effects on kids.
- Social impact of increased mobility.

Comment

- Some features of PACES scholarship program made it more likely to generate an expenditure Laffer effect.
 - Households invested more total resources in education.
 - Scholarships reduced grade repetition.
 - These two effects generate positive fiscal externalities if the additional human capital increases long-run earnings.
 - There is no offsetting reduction on short-run labor supply (and hence short run tax collection).