# RISE Working Paper 23/128 February 2023

## Long-Lived Consequences of Rapid Scale-Up? The Case of Free Primary Education in Six Sub-Saharan African Countries Deon Filmer

### Abstract

Across six Sub-Saharan African countries, grade 4 students of teachers who were hired after a free primary education reform perform worse, on average, on language and math tests—statistically significantly so in language—than students of teachers who were hired before the reform. Teachers who were hired just after the reform also perform worse, on average, on tests of subject content knowledge than those hired before the reform. The results are sensitive to the time frames considered in the analysis, and aggregate results mask substantial variation across countries—gaps are large and significant in some countries but negligible in others. Analysis of teacher demographic and education characteristics—including education level or teacher certification—as well as teacher classroom-level behaviors reveals few systematic differences associated with being hired pre- or post-reform.

JEL Classifications: I21; I25; I28; O12

Keywords: Free Primary Education; Learning Outcomes; Teacher Quality



#### Long-Lived Consequences of Rapid Scale-Up? The Case of Free Primary Education in Six Sub-Saharan African Countries

Deon Filmer World Bank dfilmer@worldbank.org

#### Acknowledgements:

Fozia Aman and Diwakar Kishore provided excellent research assistance. The analysis has greatly benefitted from inputs and useful conversations with Roberta Gatti, Nicola Dehnen, and Andreas Yi Chan, as well as comments on an earlier draft from David Evans, Michelle Kaffenberger, and Halsey Rogers. The work rests on the large set of people—too many to mention—without whom the SDI data would not exist. In addition to funding from the World Bank, financial support from the <u>Research in Improving</u> <u>Systems of Education (RISE)</u> and the <u>Knowledge for Change Program (KCP)</u> Trust Fund is gratefully acknowledged. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this paper are entirely those of the author. They do not necessarily represent the view of the World Bank, its Executive Directors, or the countries they represent.

This is one of a series of working papers from "RISE"—the large-scale education systems research programme supported by funding from the United Kingdom's Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO), the Australian Government's Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT), and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. The Programme is managed and implemented through a partnership between Oxford Policy Management and the Blavatnik School of Government at the University of Oxford.

Please cite this paper as:

Filmer, D. 2023. Long-Lived Consequences of Rapid Scale-Up? The Case of Free Primary Education in Six Sub-Saharan African Countries. RISE Working Paper Series. 23/128. https://doi.org/10.35489/BSG-RISE-WP\_2023/128

Use and dissemination of this working paper is encouraged; however, reproduced copies may not be used for commercial purposes. Further usage is permitted under the terms of the Creative Commons License.

The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in RISE Working Papers are entirely those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent those of the RISE Programme, our funders, or the authors' respective organisations. Copyright for RISE Working Papers remains with the author(s).

Research on Improving Systems of Education (RISE)

www.riseprogramme.org information@riseprogramme.org

#### 1. Introduction

In the late-1990s to early-2000s, Sub-Saharan Africa experienced a surge in primary school enrollments linked to a movement to make schooling at that level free—a reform dubbed Free Primary Education (FPE). FPE has been linked to a number of positive impacts: more children enrolling in and completing schooling, and positive impacts on health, fertility, and other non-education outcomes. But the rapid scale-up, sometimes within education systems that were not prepared for the personnel and infrastructure demands that the surge in students would require, has led many to comment on the potential harm to the quality of the average education delivered after scale-up. In particular, there were many reports of overcrowding (i.e., very high student-teacher ratios) and a lowering of teacher qualifications to address that overcrowding in the short run after the implementation of FPE in many countries.

This study explores the narrow question of whether the impacts of this rapid increase in the number of teachers associated with FPE can be felt "now" (at the time of the survey), years after the reform, in classrooms in terms of lower student learning outcomes and worse teacher "quality." While the question has an interesting historical dimension, what makes it even more important and relevant is that at least two rapid scale-up efforts are currently being advocated for— universal access to early childhood education, and universal free secondary education. Understanding the consequences of the FPE effort can shed light on how to think about these new efforts.<sup>1</sup>

The data used to analyze this question are from six Sub-Saharan African countries (Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, Tanzania, Togo, and Uganda) and include detailed student and teacher data collected as a part of the Service Delivery Indicators project. The analysis compares the learning outcomes of students at the time of the survey (which is, depending on the country, between 5 (Togo) and 16 (Uganda) years after FPE was implemented) whose teachers were hired just before versus just after the policy. It also compares the characteristics of teachers at the time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In addition, at the time of writing, many countries are ramping up the delivery of in-person learning after many months or years of closures due to the COVID-19 pandemic. There may also be lessons to learn from the FPE experience for how to manage this recovery effort.

of the survey, again contrasting those who were hired just before and just after the policy was implemented.

The main finding of the analysis is that, on average, it does appear that the students of teachers who were hired after FPE perform worse on the tests administered—and statistically significantly worse in language. Teachers themselves who were hired just after the reform also perform worse, on average, on tests of subject content knowledge than those hired before. However, these results are not always statistically significantly different from zero (depending, for example, on the number of years surrounding the FPE policy one includes in the analysis), and they vary substantially across countries. Analyzing teacher demographic and education characteristics—including education degrees and teacher certification—as well as teacher classroom-level behaviors, reveals few systematic differences associated with being hired preversus post-FPE. So, while there is some evidence that rapid scale-up led to lower student learning outcomes, further analysis (and perhaps additional data) is needed to provide confidence in the robustness of the findings and understand potential mechanisms.

#### 2. Background and selected literature review

The free primary education movement had its roots in the gap between outcomes and aspirations. In the early 1990s, as primary school enrollment rates were stagnating in many countries, the international community made high-profile commitments to increase them—such as at the "World Declaration on Education for All" made following the Jomtien conference in 1990 (UNESCO 1990); the "The Dakar Framework for Action" in 2000 following the Dakar Forum on Education for All (UNESCO 2000); and the adoption of the Millennium Development Goals which grew out of the United Nations' Millennium Declaration in 2000 (United Nations 2000). Many countries, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa, implemented a Free Primary Education Policy (FPE) reform in order to increase access and completion (Sifuna 2016). These decisions were partly influenced by research showing that school fees were being identified as a barrier to enrollment, particularly among the poor (e.g., Bentaouet Kattan and Burnett 2004; Watkins 2000).

In many respects, the changes in outcomes that directly followed this policy were remarkable. The most salient of these is that the primary net enrollment rate across Sub-Saharan Africa increased from 61 to 90 percent between 1990 and 2010—whereas it had taken currently high-income countries 60 years to make a similar improvement (1875 to 1935; World Bank 2018 figure 2.1). This pattern of rapid improvement has been observed in a number of countries (for example, see Avenstrup, Liang, and Nellemann 2004 for Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi and Uganda). Studies in selected countries have documented the explicit link between the policy change and increases in access, enrollment, and grade attainment.<sup>2</sup> Uganda was one of the first countries to implement FPE, and early studies established the role it played in lowering age at school entry (Grogan 2009), increasing enrollment (Deininger 2003), and increasing grade attainment (Nishimura, Tamano, and Sasaoka 2008). Analyses of more recent episodes find similar impacts, including in Lesotho (Moshoeshoe, Ardington and Piraino 2019), Kenya (Lucas and Mbiti 2012a) and Tanzania (Hoogeveen and Rossi 2013). In Tanzania impacts were largest for children from poorer families and for girls, while in Kenya impacts on attainment were also largest for children from poorer backgrounds (Lucas and Mbiti 2012a) but the gender difference favored boys (Lucas and Mbiti 2012b).<sup>3</sup>

In addition to analysis of education sector impacts, the "shock" of FPE has also been used to study the impact of education on desired and realized fertility. For example, one study shows (using a regression discontinuity approach) that FPE reduced women's reported desired number of children in Ethiopia, Malawi, and Uganda (Behrman 2015). The study also argues that it is not just the education of the women that mattered, but that of their partners did as well. Other studies have used similar methods, or other approaches that exploit differences in the timing and geographic deployment of FPE (using difference-in-difference approaches), to document impacts on realized fertility. For example, research on Ethiopia (Chicoine 2021) highlights the complementary role of women's access to markets; and evidence from Ghana (Boahen and Yamauchi 2018), Malawi (Behrman 2015), Nigeria (Okonkwo Osili and Long 2008), Uganda

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Also see the discussion in Evans and Acosta (2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> There is a literature on the political economy of the conceptualization and implementation of FPE. This is beyond the scope of this paper but interested readers could see: Bennel (2021); MacJessie-Mbwewe (2002); Nudzor (2013); Oketch and Rolleston (2007); Sifuna (2007); or Somerset (2009).

(Keats 2018), and a multi-country study covering Ethiopia, Malawi and Uganda (Moussa and Omoeva 2019) highlights education's intermediating role in reducing sexual activity during adolescence, increasing contraceptive use before a first pregnancy, delaying age at marriage, and lowering ultimate fertility.

A further set of analyses has focused on impacts in other dimensions. These include increased investments in child health and a reduction in malnutrition in Uganda (Keats 2018), and decreased child mortality in Malawi (Makate and Makate 2016).<sup>4</sup> In addition, evidence from Tanzania (Delesalle 2019) shows positive impacts on household consumption, including in agriculture and non-farm self-employment activities, and from Ethiopia, Malawi and Uganda (Moussa and Omoeva 2019) that shows positive impacts on adult female labor force activity. A study in Kenya (Ajayi and Ross 2020) shows positive impacts on both traditional and mobile banking (i.e., measures of financial inclusion) and in familiarity with financial terms.

At the same time as it has been shown to have these positive effects, FPE has also been cited as a potential contributor to a worsening of the average quality of education delivered in Sub-Saharan Africa (see, for example, the discussion in Wedgwood (2007) for the case of Tanzania). Many observers have pointed out the low average quality of learning outcomes in Sub-Saharan Africa (e.g. Jones and others 2014; Spaull and Taylor 2015; World Bank 2018), which may be linked to the quality of education service delivery (Bold and others 2017; World Bank 2018). The question then becomes whether the implementation of FPE led to a deterioration in quality. The difficulty in recruiting a large number of skilled teachers, and building the required physical infrastructure, to match the expected increases in student enrollments was not unforeseen. Munene (2016) includes a number of case study examples in which this was a first-order challenge in the lead-up to, and during the implementation of, FPE in several countries.

Several studies have documented reductions in quality associated with FPE. For example, using data from the Southern and Eastern Africa Consortium for Monitoring Educational Quality (SACMEQ) and school census data, Valente (2019) finds that after fees were removed in Tanzania, student-teacher ratios increased and observed teacher training, experience, and subject-specific

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A number of these studies include a "first stage" in which a measurable impact of FPE on school enrollment or attainment is documented.

knowledge declined. However, the analysis does not find that student test scores declined in a statistically significant way in response to the fee removal. Also using SACMEQ, Atuhurra (2016) finds that FPE in Kenya was associated with large achievement declines (in public schools) and argues that these are linked to lower teacher effort and disengagement of communities. Bold and others (2011) and Bold, Kimenyi, and Sandefur (2013) find that the decline in average quality in public Kenyan schools is mostly the result of selection—with higher socio-economic-status, and potentially higher-achieving, students switching to private schools after FPE—and not a decline in value-added.<sup>5</sup> It is unclear whether this pattern of switching to private schools occurred similarly in other countries where the number of private schools is often much lower than in Kenya.

While not linking changes to FPE *per se*, Taylor and Spaull (2015) analyze the changes in "access to learning" between 2000 and 2007 in 10 African countries using SACMEQ data on test scores and household surveys (e.g. Demographic and Health Surveys—DHS) on enrollment. The study defines "access to literacy" as the product of the grade 6 completion rate and the proportion of grade 6 students who reach a basic level of literacy, with a corresponding measure of "access to numeracy." The analysis finds that access to learning increased over this period in all the countries studied—despite the fact that the proportion of students who reached the basic literacy/numeracy threshold actually fell in 3 of the countries.

Le Nestour, Moscovitz, and Sandefur (2022) use data from the DHS and Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) to estimate literacy rates for birth cohorts ranging from the 1950s to the 1990s. The analysis shows that while average literacy has increased in all regions, including in Sub-Saharan Africa, "education quality" (defined as the expected literacy acquired after 5 years of primary schooling in a country at a particular time) has not—and, in particular, has declined in Sub-Saharan Africa. Using an interrupted-time-series approach, they show that education quality generally declined after FPE reforms were introduced (or more precisely, they show that average declines in school quality accelerated after the reforms).

Notwithstanding the results from Kenya discussed above, the lack of preparation and the need to rapidly scale up teacher recruitment has often been blamed for the potentially negative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This switching, rather than value-added, explanation is consistent with Lucas and Mbiti (2012a). Also see Zuilkowski and others (2018) for a discussion on these switching patterns in Nairobi.

impacts of FPE (see the case study discussions in Munene 2016). It is against this backdrop that we turn to the focus of this new analysis, namely whether the effects of this rapid scale-up can still be felt in the classroom "today," years after the initial reform period.

#### 3. Data, empirical approach, and trends in teacher recruitment

#### 3.1 Data

The data used here are from nationally representative surveys of public schools in six Sub-Saharan African countries collected as a part of the Service Delivery Indicators (SDI) project. This project (launched in 2010 as a collaboration between the World Bank and the African Economic Research Consortium, and later joined by the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation and the African Development Bank) collected detailed data on teachers, including recruitment date and subject content knowledge, and also administered an assessment of basic literacy and numeracy to grade 4 students. Details on this effort are discussed in Gatti and others (2021). In order to be able to systematically match teachers to student test scores, we focus here only on grade 4 teachers.

These data were collected between 2012 and 2016 in Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, Tanzania, Togo, and Uganda (Table 1). The number of students assessed in each country ranges from 1,744 (Mozambique) to 4,236 (Tanzania), and the number of teachers assessed ranges from 310 (Mozambique) to 1,327 (Tanzania). Across these countries, FPE was launched as early as 1997 (Uganda) and as late as 2008 (Togo). The gap in the number of years between the reform and data collection ranges from 5 (Togo) to 16 (Uganda), with a mean of 11.2 and a median of 11.5.<sup>6</sup>

In each country, representative surveys of between 198 (Togo) and 472 (Madagascar) schools were implemented using a multistage cluster-sampling design. Primary schools with at least one fourth-grade class formed the sampling frame.<sup>7</sup> In general, in each school, 10 students were sampled from a randomly selected grade 4 classroom. In addition, the students' current (i.e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> There is sometimes some uncertainty as to the exact date of the FPE reform as the announcement does not always match the implementation. The FPE years used in this paper are derived from the following sources: Kenya: Ngugi et al (2015); Madagascar: Republic of Madagascar (2012); Mozambique: Fox et al (2012); Tanzania: Mbelle (2008); Togo: Hoogeveen and Rossi (2019); Uganda: Grogan (2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This description of the sample and assessments draws from Bold et al. (2019). Further details are available in Gatti and others (2021).

at the time of the survey) language and mathematics teachers were selected for testing.<sup>8</sup> However the exact target number of students and teachers varied across countries.

The student test was designed as a one-on-one evaluation, with enumerators reading instructions aloud to students in their mother tongue. This was done to help ensure that, for example, the results of the mathematics test did not depend on a student's mastery of reading. The language test, which evaluated ability in English (Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda), French (Togo), or Portuguese (Mozambique), included items ranging from simple tasks that tested letter and word recognition to a more challenging reading comprehension test.<sup>9</sup> The mathematics test items ranged in difficulty from recognizing and ordering numbers, to the addition of one- to three-digit numbers, to the subtraction of one- and two-digit numbers, and to the multiplication and division of singledigit numbers. In both language and mathematics, the tests spanned items from the first four years of the curriculum.<sup>10</sup> The student tests have good reliability, with a reliability ratio (estimated by Cronbach's alpha) above 0.8 in both subjects.<sup>11</sup> This analysis uses Item Response Theory (IRT) derived ability scores for each of the assessments, and the scores are normalized to have a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 across all grade 4 students in these six countries.<sup>12</sup> Summary statistics for these and other variables analyzed in this paper (for data on teachers, and students of teachers, who were hired within a seven year span prior to FPE) are reported in Appendix Tables 1 to 3.

To assess teachers' subject content knowledge, teachers were asked to mark (or "grade") mock student tests in language and in mathematics. The main reason for using this approach is that it is consistent with teachers' regular teaching activities—namely, assessing student work. Both

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In some of these countries, teachers in other grades were also assessed, but these are excluded from the analysis here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> In Tanzania some students were tested in Swahili and some in English. We use either in the analysis, but include a dummy variable equal to one in the empirical specifications if the language was Swahili.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The teacher and student subject tests were designed by experts in international pedagogy and validated against 13 Sub-Saharan African primary curricula (Botswana, Ethiopia, The Gambia, Kenya, Madagascar, Mauritius, Namibia, Nigeria, Rwanda, the Seychelles, South Africa, Tanzania, and Uganda). See Johnson, Cunningham, and Dowling (2012) for details. A few items in the tests also measured grade 5 knowledge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Cronbach's alpha is defined as the square of the correlation between the measured test score and the underlying metric. A Cronbach alpha of 1 would indicate that the test is a perfect measure of the underlying metric (though not necessarily of student/teacher knowledge). As a rule of thumb, values between 0.8 and 0.9 are considered as good. <sup>12</sup> The specific measure we use comes from an analysis of pooled data from a number of Sub-Saharan African countries that go beyond the ones studied here. See Gatti and others (2021) for a description of this derivation. That score is renormalized across grade 4 students in these six countries to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.

the language and mathematics assessments for teachers included test items starting at grade 1 level (simple spelling or grammar exercises, addition and subtraction) and included items up to the grades at the upper primary level (Cloze passages to assess vocabulary and reading comprehension, interpretation of information in a diagram and/or a graph, and a more advanced math story problem).<sup>13</sup> The teacher tests have good reliability, with a reliability ratio (estimated by Cronbach's alpha) above 0.85 in both subjects on the teacher test. Like the student tests, the teacher test scores used here are based on IRT-derived ability scores for each of the assessments, and they are normalized to have a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 across all grade 4 teachers in these six countries.

In addition to these tests, we also incorporate data from a teacher roster, a classroom observation of a lesson, and from a module that measures teacher absence. The teacher roster collects basic demographic and education background information on teachers: age, gender, highest education level completed, whether the teacher holds a teaching certification,<sup>14</sup> whether they were born in this district, as well as the teacher's contract status.<sup>15</sup> We also use information on whether the school is in an urban or rural area.

In each school, one grade 4 lesson was observed for its entirety. During this classroom observation, enumerators recorded minute-by-minute what the teacher was doing against a set of predefined activities. Activities include descriptors such as "Teacher interacts with all children as a group" or "Teacher supervises pupil(s) writing on the board" or "Teacher in class - not teaching." We use these data to construct a measure of the share of time the teacher is teaching. After the lesson, enumerators record what they observed along three main dimensions: the teacher's general demeanor; the use of what are generally thought of as good pedagogical practices; and observations on the classroom environment. For each of these sets of variables, we code responses as a series

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Cloze sentences/passages leave blank a word in a phrase/sentence and ask the test-taker to fill in that word (sometimes from a set of options) with one that completes the sentence in a way that is sensical and grammatically correct.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The specific teaching certification varies across countries, which is why we focus only on having one versus not having one.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> For Madagascar, Mozambique, Tanzania, and Togo, "permanent" contract status teachers are those who are reported as being "Government official" whereas non-permanent are those who are reported as "Government non-permanent," "Volunteer," "Private contract," "Financed by the community," "No contract," or "Other." For Kenya and Uganda, "permanent" contract status teachers are those who are reported as being "Owner/Director," "Head teacher/principal," "Deputy head teacher," "Senior teacher," "Teacher (government)," while non-permanent status are those reported as "Teacher (paid contract)," "Teacher (volunteer)," or "Other."

of indicator (zero/one) variables and average across the variables to create indices for each of these dimensions.<sup>16</sup> Teacher absence was measured separately from this observation and during an unannounced visit to the school. Enumerators recorded the attendance of teachers who, according to the teaching schedule, were supposed to be teaching at the time of the visit. They were then recorded as being absent from the school, present in the school but absent from the classroom, or present in the classroom. We use this to define two indicator variables: (1) absent from the school or classroom versus present in the classroom (which we call school absence), and (2) absent from the school or

In addition to the SDI data, we also make use of data from the UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) to describe trends in the number of primary-level teachers in each country (accessed via the World Bank World Development Indicators database).

#### 3.2 Empirical approach

The general approach used here is based on a regression discontinuity design (RDD) approach, which assesses whether there is a break in trend for teachers that were hired before or after the implementation of FPE. The models are estimated on the pooled sample (including all countries) with a specification that includes country fixed effects, and on country-specific samples. In the analysis of student test scores, the empirical specification for the pooled model is:

$$T_{\text{itc}} = \alpha + \beta \times (Year \, Hired_{\text{tc}} - FPE \, year_{\text{c}}) + \delta \times (Hired \, after \, FPE \, year_{\text{tc}}) + Country \, FE + \varepsilon_{\text{itc}} (1)$$

where  $T_{itc}$  is the test score for student i, of teacher t, in country c. *Year Hired*<sub>tc</sub> is the year that teacher t in country c was hired, *FPE year*<sub>c</sub> is the year that the FPE reform was implemented in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The specific variables used are as follows. Good teacher demeanor: Teacher was either sitting or standing in front of the class at any time; teacher visited students in class (1=visited above median number); teacher called out students in class (1=called out above median number); teacher was smiling, laughing, or joking with children; teacher did not hit, pinch, or slap a child. Good pedagogical practices: Teacher asked questions that required learners to recall information; teacher asked learners to carry out a task; teacher asked questions that required learners to apply information; teacher asked questions which required learners to use their creativity; teacher provided positive feedback or praise; teacher introduced the lesson at the start of the class; teacher summarized the lesson at the end of the class; teacher assigned homework to the class; teacher reviewed or collect homework from the class; teacher used the local language as a medium of instruction at some point in the class. Good classroom environment: there a blackboard and/or whiteboard in the class; there is chalk or a marker to write on the board available during the lesson; classroom has a working electricity connection; children's work is displayed on the walls; other materials were displayed on walls.

country c, and *Hired after FPE year*<sub>tc</sub> is an indicator variable equal to 1 if the teacher was hired after FPE. The estimated coefficient  $\delta$  is the main estimate of interest: if this is large it would indicate that there is a break in trend and there is a significant difference in outcomes associated with teachers having been hired before or after FPE.

The coefficient  $\beta$  represents the general time trend of the outcome associated with the year teachers were hired. For this outcome (student test score), it captures the fact that student test scores may vary systematically with how long a teacher has been teaching, for example. To allow a focus on the years surrounding the implementation of FPE, the sample is restricted to a "bandwidth" of a specific number of years surrounding the FPE year. For most of the models, to investigate the robustness of the estimates, we vary the bandwidth from 2 to 10 years in absolute value. This means, for example, that teachers hired 2 years prior to FPE and 2 years after FPE are included in the sample when the bandwidth is 2.

For other outcomes the estimated models are adapted from that in equation (1). For example, when estimating the model for teacher test scores or teacher characteristics, the equation is the same although the outcome is at the teacher level. When estimating student-level outcomes, standard errors are clustered at the teacher level; when estimating teacher-level outcomes, standard errors are clustered at the school level.

#### 3.3 Trends in teacher recruitment

Before turning to the estimates of equation (1) we explore trends in teacher recruitment in two ways—first, by using the number of primary school teachers over time, and second, by using the year that grade 4 teachers in the SDI report that they began teaching.

The number of primary school teachers as reported to UIS has increased consistently over time in five of the six countries (Figure 1). The exception is Kenya, where the reported number of teachers has fluctuated from year to year, albeit within a relatively small band.<sup>17</sup> In 3 of these countries there is a notable increase in the trend just after FPE (Tanzania, Togo, Uganda). In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> It is unclear to what extent these fluctuations in Kenya are "real" or just the result of reporting errors over time. It seems unlikely that the number of primary school teachers would have increased or decreased by 20,000 in just one year.

Madagascar and Mozambique the year-to-year increase was already large before FPE, and appears to continue after it. It is hard to make out a trend in Kenya due to the year-to-year fluctuations.

We next turn to the year that current grade 4 teachers in the SDI surveys report having begun teaching. It is important to note that the sample of teachers in the SDI reflects only those who have remained as teachers and the results need to be interpreted in that light, in particular because this could induce a "survivorship" bias to the results. If, for example, less-qualified teachers were hired following FPE and teachers with this profile are also more likely to have left the teaching profession by the time of the survey, then we would not be capturing them in the sample. Moreover, it is important to note that the students we test are in grade 4 and so would have been exposed to 3 years of teachers prior to this grade. These teachers may have been hired before or after FPE, meaning that total FPE effects may be hard to detect with knowledge of just the grade 4 teacher's status. These caveats mean that this analysis should be understood as an exploration of whether the impacts of the FPE scale-up are still present in the long term using this particular window into that exploration.

These data do seem to suggest a noticeable change in the density of grade 4 SDI teachers hired after FPE (Figure 2). While it is only in Tanzania and Uganda that it would appear that this happened exactly in the FPE year, all the countries display a change around that date (although in Togo there are other spikes in hiring that do not correspond to the FPE year). In the spirit of RDD, we test for whether there is a change in the density at the time of FPE by implementing the "test for manipulation" as developed by McCrary (2008).<sup>18</sup> The results are presented graphically in Appendix Figure 1: in all cases the density is indeed higher after FPE. However, the difference is only statistically significant in two of the six countries (Kenya and Tanzania), meaning there is not always a clean break in the series relative to the overall year-to-year volatility in the series.

#### 4. Results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> We use the version as implemented by Cattaneo, Jansson and Ma (2020) and Cattaneo, Jansson and Ma (2021). This test is typically implemented in RDD situations in order to test whether the running variable has been "manipulated" in such a way as to move subjects from the non-treated to the treated side of a threshold that determines treatment. As such, a break is considered evidence of a bad outcome. In our case, we expect there to be a change in the density if there is a surge in teacher recruitment after FPE.

#### 4.1 Learning outcomes for students of teachers hired before and after FPE

We now turn to the results from estimating the model described in equation (1). The first set of results are for student test scores. Because of the large number of results, these are presented graphically to ease interpretation (the full set of results is reported in Appendix Table 4). Figure 3 shows the coefficient estimates of  $\delta$  in equation (1) for language (left panel) and math (right panel) from the pooled sample. Coefficients are shown for each bandwidth (ranging from 2 to 10); estimates that are statistically significantly different from zero at the 10 percent level are shown in black with a solid symbol, those that are not statistically significantly different from zero at the 10 percent level are shown in gray with an open symbol.

Coefficient estimates for language test scores are consistently negative and generally statistically significant (for bandwidths 5 to 10).<sup>19</sup> The magnitudes suggest that having a teacher who was hired after FPE is associated with a 0.2 standard deviation reduction in scores on the test. For math the coefficient estimates are smaller and never statistically significant. They are nevertheless systematically negative and on the order of 0.1 standard deviation.

Figure 4 shows the results from estimating the model country-by-country. For language the results are generally consistent across countries—namely that test scores are lower for students whose teacher was hired after FPE (note that these results are generally less precise than those from the pooled models, and effects are often not statistically significant). This is especially noticeable in Kenya, Madagascar, Tanzania and Togo. In some cases the coefficients are very large—reaching as high as 0.5 standard deviation in Kenya when using a bandwidth of 7 years around the FPE year. But these disaggregated results also reveal inconsistencies: In Uganda there appears to be no language test score deficit associated with post-FPE teachers, and in fact the coefficient estimates are positive in several cases (albeit not statistically significantly so). For math the results are decidedly more mixed—ranging from positive and sometimes statistically significant for Mozambique and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Increasing the bandwidth increases the sample size and so all else equal effects are more likely to be found significant. However introducing observations away from the cutoff means that it is more likely that other factors may come into play—including whether or not the shock is persistent and effects cumulate on the one hand, or whether they are transient and impacts regress to the mean on the other.

Uganda. The coefficient estimates for math are generally small and not statistically significant in the cases of Madagascar, Tanzania, and Togo.

4.2 Teacher subject content knowledge for teachers hired before and after FPE

We next turn to the results from estimating the teacher-level counterpart to equation (1), with the test score from teacher subject content knowledge assessment as the outcome variable (the full set of results is reported in Appendix Table 5). The results for the pooled model (Figure 5) are not always consistent with those for students: the coefficient estimates on language (left panel) are consistently small and not statistically significant, while those for math are systematically negative, and sometimes statistically significantly different from zero. The size of the estimates suggests that teachers hired after FPE score about 0.15 standard deviation lower on the math test that those hired before.

Country-by-country estimates (Figure 6) once again reveal substantial heterogeneity. In five of the six countries, being a teacher who was hired post-FPE does not appear to be associated with worse language test scores—in fact coefficient estimates are generally positive, albeit small and not statistically significant. The exception is Tanzania where, for all the bandwidths, the estimates are negative, and for bandwidths of 3 to 5 years the estimated effect is large and statistically significant. Expanding the bandwidth in the Tanzania model reduces the size of the estimate (from about 0.5 standard deviations to about 0.2) and results are not generally significantly different from zero (with the exception of a bandwidth of 7). The results for math are also varied across countries, although in four of the six countries the results suggest a negative effect (Kenya, Mozambique, Tanzania, Togo)—but these are generally not statistically significant. In Tanzania the effect for math is more consistently negative and generally statistically significantly different from zero (for bandwidths of 5 to 10 years). The magnitude of this effect suggests that Tanzanian teachers who were hired just after FPE scored about 0.4 standard deviation lower on the math assessment than those hired just before.

4.3 Teacher characteristics

Table 2 reports results from corresponding models of basic teacher characteristics. For succinctness, only the results derived from models using a seven-year bandwidth around the FPE

are shown.<sup>20</sup> In the pooled model, the only variables that are significantly different from zero are age, holding a teaching certification, and being born in the (local) district, with post-FPE teachers being older by 2.3 years, 5 percentage points less likely to hold a certification, and 8 percentage points more likely to be born in the district. The positive age effect is also apparent in three of the six country-specific sets of estimates (with a similar magnitude of close to 3 years in Kenya, Madagascar, and Tanzania). The teaching certification effect has the same negative sign in Madagascar, Mozambique, and Togo, although none of the country-specific estimates are statistically significant. The locally born effect has the same negative sign in Madagascar, Mozambique, Tanzania, and Uganda, although it is only statistically significantly different from zero in the latter. Perhaps surprisingly, given that much of the narrative around the hiring of teachers at the time of FPE suggests a lowering of educational standards, the results do not suggest that post-FPE teachers (still working in grade 4 classrooms "today") are less likely to have at least a secondary education, at least a diploma, or at least a bachelor's degree. On the latter there is some degree of consistency that post-FPE teachers are slightly less likely to have a bachelor's degree—but the coefficient estimates are small and far from statistically significant at conventional levels. The results do not suggest that there is a difference in the contract status of teachers hired before and after FPE.

The age and education qualification results are somewhat counter to the common narrative that FPE resulted in the recruitment of young and un(der)-educated teachers. It is possible that countries may have sought to also recruit older people, with basic general education qualifications, who were in other professions, and who were local. The certification result is more consistent with the common narrative, although the country estimates suggest that it is only in Mozambique where the effect is substantively large (albeit statistically insignificant).

#### 4.4 Classroom observation and teacher absence

The last set of results comes from the analysis of the classroom observation and teacher absence data.<sup>21</sup> There is no consistent evidence that teachers hired after FPE do any worse on any of these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> A bandwidth of 7 was chosen to report here for parsimony and because it is a relative midpoint. Results for bandwidths of 5 and 10 years are reported in Appendix Table 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Results for bandwidths of 5 and 10 years are reported in Appendix Table 7.

measures than those hired before—either in the pooled model or in the country-specific models (Table 3). If anything, the estimates suggest that there is a positive relationship with being a post-FPE hire. In the pooled model (Column 1 of Table 3) the effect of being a post-FPE hire is statistically significantly positive on the use of good pedagogical practices and negative on school absence (a pattern that is repeated in some of the individual countries such as Kenya, and Tanzania). Perhaps surprisingly, the only somewhat consistent result across countries from this analysis is that teachers hired post-FPE are less likely to have been absent on the day of the unannounced visit.

#### 5. Discussion and conclusion

This analysis set out to explore the extent to which the effects of the rapid scale-up in the hiring of teachers associated with FPE reforms in Sub-Saharan Africa—and the potentially lower "quality" of those teachers, due to potentially lowering of standards required to rapidly recruit substantial numbers of teachers—are still detectable at the classroom level 5 to 16 years (depending on the country) after the reform. Administrative data confirm the rapid increase in the number of primary school teachers across these countries, with inflections at the time of FPE for several. In many of the countries, the years which current grade 4 teachers report as having been their first also reflect an inflection at the time of FPE.

Comparing the learning outcomes of students whose teachers were hired in the years before and after FPE suggests that there is, on average, a learning deficit for the latter—although, depending on the bandwidth used, the effects are not always statistically significantly different from 0. Pooled across all the countries in the study, the deficit is on the order of 0.2 standard deviation on the language test, and 0.1 standard deviation on the math test (test scores have mean 0 and standard deviation 1 across all test-taking students in these countries). Deficits in language are common across almost all the countries (Uganda is the exception), but in math the results are more varied, with strong deficits in Mozambique and Uganda, milder deficits in Madagascar and Togo, and a test-score advantage in Kenya and Tanzania. While it is hard to draw strong conclusions explaining cross-country variability on the basis of just six countries, one hypothesis could be that where pre-FPE outcomes were "better" there might have been more scope for reductions post-FPE. For student language scores there is indeed a large and statistically negative correlation between the FPE coefficient on student test scores and the pre-FPE mean student test score (correlation = -0.767; p-value=0.075, see Appendix Figure 2)—that is, the better test scores are for student of teachers hired pre-FPE the larger is the negative impact of having a teacher hired post-FPE. At the same time, however, the pattern is reversed (although not statistically significant) for student math scores.

While the learning results are intriguing, the story is less clear once other dimensions of teachers are analyzed directly. First, the post-FPE deficit in teacher test scores is generally detectable in math but not in language—the opposite of the pattern for student test scores. At the country level the direction of the subject-specific deficits or advantages is also inconsistent, with the exception being Tanzania, where there are deficits in language for both students and teachers (at least for most bandwidths). There are no detectable deficits in any other countries for language. For math the deficits have the same direction in Mozambique and (for some specifications) Togo—but the results for the other countries do not suggest a simple storyline.

The results do suggest, however, that there is a negative and statistically significant correlation across these six countries between the size of the impact of being a post-FPE teacher for language, and the language test scores of pre-FPE teachers (correlation = -0.587; p-value = 0.093; see Appendix Figure 2). This suggests that in countries where the test scores of teachers hired pre-FPE are higher, there might have been more scope to bring in teachers with lower mastery of the subject. The correlation for math is similar in magnitude but not statistically significant (coefficient = -0.555; p-value = 0.253; see Appendix Figure 2).

Second, neither teacher demographic and educational characteristics, nor teaching behaviors, seem to differ consistently for pre- versus post-FPE hired teachers in ways that would strongly suggest worse "quality.' Post-FPE teachers are slightly more likely to be "born in the district," less likely to hold a teaching certification, and slightly less likely to have a bachelor's degree—but these results are not consistent across all countries, nor are they statistically significant. Post-FPE teachers are slightly older than pre-FPE teachers, which goes in the opposite

direction from what one might expect if "young and inexperienced" teachers were drafted into the profession at the time of FPE. While also varied across countries, to the extent that there were statistically significant effects for teacher behavior results, these seem to go in the opposite direction from what would be implied if post-FPE teachers were of worse "quality:" they tend to use "good pedagogical practices" more, and to be absent less often.

In sum, this analysis has revealed a mixed set of findings and suggests that the processes that played out over the FPE period and beyond was clearly complex. An intriguing initial finding of learning deficits associated with having a teacher who was hired post-FPE is not systematically matched by the expected corresponding trends at the teacher level (including teacher test scores, teacher characteristics, and teacher in-class behaviors). It is likely that there are a variety of countervailing forces at play—for example recruiting teachers locally might have led to increased commitment and local accountability, perhaps lower absence, and perhaps greater ability to communicate in the local language. At the same time, these might have been offset by lower mastery of subject knowledge or other countervailing forces. Last, for the results in this analysis, it is not just what occurred at the time of the FPE reform that matters, but also what transpired after that—including potentially differential attrition of teachers hired pre- and post-FPE. At the end of the analysis we are therefore left with something of a puzzle that will take more analysis, and perhaps more or different data, to investigate.

#### References

- Ajayi, Kehinde F., and Phillip H. Ross. 2020. "The effects of education on financial outcomes: Evidence from Kenya." *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 69(1): 253-289.
- Atuhurra, Julius F. 2016. "Does community involvement affect teacher effort? Assessing learning impacts of Free Primary Education in Kenya." *International Journal of Educational Development* 49: 234-246.
- Avenstrup, Roger, Xiaoyan Liang, and Søren Nellemann. 2004. *Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi and Uganda: Universal primary education and poverty reduction*. Africa regional educational publications. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Behrman, Julia Andrea. 2015. "Does schooling affect women's desired fertility? Evidence from Malawi, Uganda, and Ethiopia." *Demography* 52(3): 787-809.

- Bennell, Paul. 2021. "The political economy of attaining universal primary education in sub-Saharan Africa: Social class reproduction, educational distancing and job competition." *International Journal of Educational Development* 80: 102303.
- Bentaouet Kattan, Raja; Burnett, Nicholas. 2004. *School fees: A roadblock to education for all.* Education Notes. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Boahen, Emmanuel Adu, and Chikako Yamauchi. 2018. "The effect of female education on adolescent fertility and early marriage: Evidence from free compulsory universal basic education in Ghana." *Journal of African Economies* 27(2): 227–48.
- Bold, Tessa, Deon P. Filmer, Ezequiel Molina, and Jakob Svensson. 2019. "The lost human capital: Teacher knowledge and student achievement in Africa" World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 8849. The World Bank.
- Bold, Tessa, Deon Filmer, Gayle Martin, Ezequiel Molina, Brian Stacy, Christophe Rockmore, Jakob Svensson, and Waly Wane. 2017. "Enrollment without learning: Teacher effort, knowledge, and skill in primary schools in Africa." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 31(4): 185-204.
- Bold, Tessa, Mwangi Kimenyi, Germano Mwabu, and Justin Sandefur. 2011. "Why did abolishing fees not increase public school enrollment in Kenya?" *Center for Global Development Working Paper* 271.
- Bold, Tessa, Mwangi S. Kimenyi, and Justin Sandefur. 2013. "Public and private provision of education in Kenya." *Journal of African economies* 22(suppl\_2: ii39-ii56.
- Cattaneo, Matias D., Michael Jansson, and Xinwei Ma. 2020. "Simple local polynomial density estimators." *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 115(531): 1449-1455.
- Cattaneo, Matias D., Michael Jansson, and Xinwei Ma. 2021. Local regression distribution estimators. *Journal of Econometrics*.
- Chicoine, Luke. 2021. "Free primary education, fertility, and women's access to the labor market: Evidence from Ethiopia." *The World Bank Economic Review* 35(2):480-498.
- Delesalle, Esther. 2019. "The effect of the universal primary education program on labor market outcomes: Evidence from Tanzania." National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 25789. Cambridge, MA.
- Deininger, Klaus. 2003. "Does cost of schooling affect enrollment by the poor? Universal primary education in Uganda." *Economics of Education Review* 22(3): 291-305.
- Evans, David K., and Amina Mendez Acosta. 2021. "Education in Africa: What are we learning?" *Journal of African Economies* 30(1): 13-54.
- Fox, Louise, Lucrecia Santibañez, Vy Nguyen, and Pierre André. 2012. *Education reform in Mozambique: Lessons and challenges*. Washington DC: The World Bank.

- Gatti, Roberta, Kathryn Andrews, Ciro Avitabile, Ruben Conner, Jigyasa Sharma, Andres Yi Chang. 2021. *The quality of health and education systems across Africa: Evidence from a decade of service delivery indicators surveys*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Grogan, Louise. 2009. "Universal primary education and school entry in Uganda." *Journal of African Economies* 18(2): 183-211.
- Hoogeveen, Johannes, and Mariacristina Rossi. 2013. "Enrolment and grade attainment following the introduction of free primary education in Tanzania." *Journal of African Economies* 22(3): 375-393.
- Hoogeveen, Johannes and Mariacristina Rossi, eds. 2019. *Transforming education outcomes in Africa: Learning from Togo*. Springer Nature.
- Keats, Anthony. 2018. "Women's schooling, fertility, and child health outcomes: Evidence from Uganda's free primary education program." *Journal of Development Economics* 135: 142-159.
- Johnson, David, Andrew Cunningham, and Rachel Dowling. 2012. "Teaching Standards and Curriculum Review: Final Report." <u>Report No. 174257</u>. World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Jones, Sam, Youdi Schipper, Sara Ruto, and Rakesh Rajani. 2014. "Can your child read and count? Measuring learning outcomes in East Africa." *Journal of African Economies* 23(5): 643-672.
- Lincove, Jane Arnold. 2012. "The influence of price on school enrollment under Uganda's policy of free primary education." *Economics of education Review* 31(5): 799-811.
- Le Nestour, Alexis, Laura Moscoviz, Justin Sandefur. 2022. "The long-run decline of education quality in the developing world" CGD Working Paper 608. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development.
- Lucas, Adrienne M., and Isaac M. Mbiti. 2012a. "Access, sorting, and achievement: The shortrun effects of free primary education in Kenya." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 4(4): 226-53.
- Lucas, Adrienne M., and Isaac M. Mbiti. 2012b. "Does free primary education narrow gender differences in schooling? Evidence from Kenya." *Journal of African Economies* 21(5): 691-722.
- MacJessie-Mbewe, Samson. 2002. "An analysis of free primary education reform policy in Malawi." *International Journal of Educational Reform* 11(2): 94-105.
- Makate, Marshall, and Clifton Makate. 2016. "The causal effect of increased primary schooling on child mortality in Malawi: Universal primary education as a natural experiment." *Social Science & Medicine* 168: 72-83.

- Mbelle, Amon V.Y. 2008. *The impact of reforms on the quality of primary education in Tanzania*. Research Report 08.1. Dar es Salaam: Research on Poverty Alleviation (REPOA).
- McCrary, Justin. 2008. "Manipulation of the running variable in the regression discontinuity design: A density test." *Journal of Econometrics* 142(2): 698-714.
- Moshoeshoe, Ramaele, Cally Ardington, and Patrizio Piraino. 2019. "The effect of the free primary education policy on school enrolment and relative grade attainment in Lesotho." *Journal of African Economie*. 28(5): 511–32.
- Moussa, Wael, and Carina Omoeva. 2020. "The long-term effects of universal primary education: Evidence from Ethiopia, Malawi, and Uganda." *Comparative Education Review* 64(2): 179-206.
- Munene, Ishmael I., ed. 2016. Achieving education for all: Dilemmas in system-wide reforms and learning outcomes in Africa. Lanham, Md.: Lexington Books.
- Ngugi, Margaret Catherine Mumiukha, Flora Fedha, and Beatrice Ndiga. 2015. "Universal primary education in Kenya: Advancement and challenges." *Journal of Education and Practice* 6(14): 87-95.
- Nishimura, Mikiko, Takashi Yamano, and Yuichi Sasaoka. 2008. "Impacts of the universal primary education policy on educational attainment and private costs in rural Uganda." *International Journal of Educational Development* 28(2): 161-175.
- Nudzor, Hope Pius. 2013. "Exploring the policy implementation paradox: using the free compulsory universal basic education (fCUBE) policy in Ghana as an exemplar." *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education* 26(8): 933-952.
- Oketch, Moses O., and Caine M. Rolleston. 2007. "Policies on free primary and secondary education in East Africa: A review of the literature." *Review of Research on Education* 31:131–158
- Okonkwo Osili, Una, and Bridget Terry Long. 2008. "Does female schooling reduce fertility? Evidence from Nigeria." *Journal of Development Economics* 87(1): 57-75.
- Republic of Madagascar, 2012. <u>Plan intérimaire pour l'éducation [Interim Education Sector</u> <u>Plan] 2013–2015</u>.
- Sifuna, Daniel N. 2016. "Universal primary education and the challenge of quality in Sub-Saharan Africa" in Munene, Ishmael I., ed. *Achieving education for all: Dilemmas in system-wide reforms and learning outcomes in Africa*. Lanham, Md.: Lexington Books.
- Sifuna, Daniel N. 2007. "The challenge of increasing access and improving quality: An analysis of universal primary education interventions in Kenya and Tanzania since the 1970s." *International Review of Education* 53(5-6): 687-699.
- Somerset, Anthony. 2009. "Universalising primary education in Kenya: the elusive goal." *Comparative Education* 45(2): 233-250.

- Spaull, Nicholas, and Stephen Taylor. 2015. "Access to what? Creating a composite measure of educational quantity and educational quality for 11 African countries." *Comparative Education Review* 59(1): 133-165.
- Taylor, Stephen, and Nicholas Spaull. 2015. "Measuring access to learning over a period of increased access to schooling: The case of Southern and Eastern Africa since 2000." *International Journal of Educational Development* 41: 47-59.
- UNESCO. 1990. *World declaration on education for all and framework for action to meet basic learning needs.*
- UNESCO. 2000. The Dakar Framework for Action.
- United Nations. 2000. United Nations Millennium Declaration
- Valente, Christine. 2019. "Primary education expansion and quality of schooling." *Economics of Education Review* 73: 101913.
- Watkins, Kevin. 2000. The Oxfam Education Report. Oxford: Oxfam.
- Wedgwood, Ruth. 2007. "Education and poverty reduction in Tanzania." *International Journal* of Educational Development 27(4): 383-396.
- World Bank. 2018. *World Development Report 2018: Learning to Realize Education's Promise*. Washington, DC: Oxford University Press and The World Bank.
- Zenebe Gebre, Tihtina. 2019. "Free primary education, timing of fertility, and total fertility." *The World Bank Economic Review* 34(3):730-748.
- Zuilkowski, Stephanie Simmons, Benjamin Piper, Salome Ong'ele, and Onesmus Kiminza. 2018. "Parents, quality, and school choice: why parents in Nairobi choose low-cost private schools over public schools in Kenya's free primary education era." Oxford Review of Education 44(2): 258-274.

			SDI Data		
	Collection	Number	Number of	Number of	
	Year	of Schools	Grade 4	Grade 4	Free Primary
		Surveyed	Students	Teachers	Education
			Assessed	Assessed	Reform Year
	(1)	(2)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Kenya	2012	306	2,370	1,119	2003
Madagascar	2016	472	3,950	522	2003
Mozambique	2014	203	1,744	310	2004
Tanzania	2016	198	4,236	1,327	2002
Togo	2013	396	1,886	195	2008
Uganda	2013	400	3,354	720	1997
Total		1,975	17 540	4,193	

Table 1—Year of data collection and of FPE reform

Note: Number of teachers includes only those who were assessed and for whom the start date is known.

	Pooled	Kenya	Madagascar	Mozambique	Tanzania	Togo	Uganda
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Urban	-0.019	-0.064	-0.186*	0.097	-0.036	0.058	0.037
	(0.036)	(0.079)	(0.107)	(0.148)	(0.071)	(0.154)	(0.074)
Age	2.03***	2.99***	3.08*	0.87	2.76***	-1.15	0.84
	(0.48)	(1.12)	(1.60)	(1.76)	(0.70)	(2.28)	(0.85)
Male	0.054	-0.082	0.132	0.244*	0.045	0.133	0.120
	(0.041)	(0.082)	(0.117)	(0.146)	(0.082)	(0.127)	(0.091)
Secondary or more	0.017	0.029	-0.073	0.057	-0.009	0.144	0.021
	(0.022)	(0.019)	(0.102)	(0.062)	(0.031)	(0.177)	(0.020)
Diploma or more	0.016	0.112	-0.061	-0.140	-0.064	0.007	0.031
	(0.033)	(0.080)	(0.105)	(0.097)	(0.060)	(0.128)	(0.041)
Bachelors or more	-0.011	0.033	-0.065	-0.119	-0.034	-0.043	0.017
	(0.021)	(0.041)	(0.077)	(0.093)	(0.045)	(0.027)	(0.022)
Teaching certification	-0.050*	0.082	-0.040	-0.233	0.003	-0.030	0.021
	(0.029)	(0.060)	(0.086)	(0.150)	(0.009)	(0.163)	(0.035)
Born in district	0.082**	-0.003	0.151	0.081	0.053	0.003	0.193***
	(0.039)	(0.082)	(0.108)	(0.154)	(0.068)	(0.166)	(0.091)
"Permanent" contract status	-0.025	0.012	-0.008	0.114	0.002	0.133	-0.143
	(0.030)	(0.070)	(0.089)	(0.130)	(0.013)	(0.081)	(0.092)

Table 2: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher characteristics associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (bandwidth of 7 years).

Notes: Standard errors in parenthesis. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* indicates statistically significant at the 10, 5, and 1 percent levels respectively. See text for definition of variables. Each estimate and associated standard error are the result of a separate regression model. Models use a bandwidth of 7 years.

	Pooled	Kenya	Madagascar	Mozambique	Tanzania	Togo	Uganda
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Good teacher demeanor	-0.008	0.050	-0.029	0.053	-0.022	-0.055	0.016
	(0.027)	(0.094)	(0.054)	(0.086)	(0.038)	(0.077)	(0.059)
Good pedagogical practices	0.046**	0.210*	0.046	0.063	0.080*	-0.061	0.007
	(0.023)	(0.108)	(0.037)	(0.066)	(0.046)	(0.068)	(0.039)
Classroom environment	0.032	-0.030	0.036	0.008	0.044	0.033	0.052
	(0.022)	(0.075)	(0.049)	(0.027)	(0.051)	(0.061)	(0.053)
Share of time teaching	-0.022	-0.021	-0.005	0.010	-0.102	0.069	-0.027
	(0.026)	(0.120)	(0.039)	(0.018)	(0.064)	(0.085)	(0.049)
Absent from school	-0.173***	-0.295**	-0.099	-0.184	-0.187	-0.461***	0.052
	(0.060)	(0.132)	(0.123)	(0.234)	(0.152)	(0.154)	(0.140)
Absent from class or school	-0.117	0.310	-0.155	-0.592***	-0.255	-0.364**	0.255
	(0.072)	(0.220)	(0.128)	(0.221)	(0.200)	(0.181)	(0.171)

Table 3: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher behaviors and teacher absence associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (bandwidth of 7 years).

Notes: Standard errors in parenthesis. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* indicates statistically significant at the 10, 5, and 1 percent levels respectively. See text for definition of variables. Each estimate and associated standard error are the result of a separate regression model. Models use a bandwidth of 7 years.



Figure 1: Overall number of primary school teachers

Note: Vertical line shows year of FPE Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics. Accessed online via World Bank World Development Indicators database (6/2022).



#### Figure 2: Distribution of year that SDI Grade 4 teacher sample started teaching

Note: Sample is Grade 4 teachers that took the subject tests in the SDI sample. Vertical line shows year of FPE

Figure 3: RDD estimates of Grade 4 <u>student</u> test score gap associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (by varying bandwidth around cutoff year). Pooled sample (Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda)



Note: Model includes country fixed effects and a dummy variable for test language for Tanzania. Black lines with solid symbols show estimates are statistically significant at the 10% level. Gray lines with open symbols show estimates that are not statistically significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. Bandwidth is the number of years of teacher start-dates on each side of the FPE cutoff year that are included in the sample.

Figure 4: RDD estimates of Grade 4 <u>student</u> test score gap associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (by varying bandwidth around cutoff year). Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda.



Note: Model includes a dummy variable for test language for Tanzania. Black lines with solid symbols show estimates are statistically significant at the 10% level. Gray lines with open symbols show estimates that are not statistically significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. Bandwidth is the number of years of teacher start-dates on each side of the FPE cutoff year that are included in the sample.



Figure 5: RDD estimates of Grade 4 <u>teacher</u> subject knowledge test score gap associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (by varying bandwidth around cutoff year). Pooled sample (Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda)

Note: Model includes country fixed effects. Black lines with solid symbols show estimates are statistically significant at the 10% level. Gray lines with open symbols show estimates that are not statistically significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. Bandwidth is the number of years of teacher start-dates on each side of the FPE cutoff year that are included in the sample.



Figure 6: RDD estimates of Grade 4 <u>teacher</u> subject knowledge test score gap associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (by varying bandwidth around cutoff year): Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda

Note: Model includes country fixed effects. Black lines with solid symbols show estimates are statistically significant at the 10% level. Gray lines with open symbols show estimates that are not statistically significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. Bandwidth is the number of years of teacher start-dates on each side of the FPE cutoff year that are included in the sample.



Appendix Figure 1: Test for break in distribution of the number of SDI Grade 4 teachers at year of FPE

Note: Sample is Grade 4 teachers that took the subject tests in the SDI sample.



Appendix Figure 2: RDD Coefficient estimates of impact of FPE vs. pre-FPE average test scores

Note: Each point corresponds to a country. Correlation coefficients are: Students Language -0.767 (p-value 0.075); Students Math 0.450 (p-value 0.371); Teachers Language -0.587 (p-value 0.093); Teachers Math -0.555 (p-value 0.253)

	Students: Language	Students: Math	Teachers: Language	Teachers: Math
Kenya	0.589	0.321	0.666	0.890
	(0.815)	(0.680)	(0.784)	(0.722)
Madagascar	-0.039	0.388	-1.564	-1.096
	(0.947)	(0.965)	(0.451)	(0.569)
Mozambique	-0.642	-1.069	-0.293	-0.998
	(0.948)	(0.714)	(0.709)	(0.686)
Tanzania	0.445	0.234	0.183	0.233
	(0.911)	(1.003)	(0.730)	(0.735)
Togo	-0.250	-0.339	-0.686	-1.008
	(0.900)	(0.903)	(0.923)	(0.962)
Uganda	-0.192	-0.415	0.159	-0.162
	(0.917)	(0.808)	(0.865)	(0.692)
Total	-0.057	-0.095	-0.116	-0.129
	(0.973)	(0.990)	(1.081)	(1.076)

Appendix Table 1: Means and standard deviations of Teacher and Student test variables (7-year band pre-FPF	Appendix Table 1: Means and standard deviations of Teacher and Stud	ent test variables (7-	-year band pre-FPE)
--	---	------------------------	---------------------

Notes: Standard Deviations in parenthesis. See text for definition of variables. Sample includes only teachers hired within the 7 years prior to FPE.

.

					"Permanent"
	Urban	Age	Male	Born in district	contract status
Kenya	0.272	37.2	0.532	0.640	0.906
	(0.446)	(7.60)	(0.500)	(0.481)	(0.292)
Madagascar	0.456	45.8	0.418	0.667	0.267
	(0.501)	(7.95)	(0.496)	(0.474)	(0.445)
Mozambique	0.303	31.7	0.544	0.349	0.758
	(0.463)	(5.56)	(0.503)	(0.482)	(0.432)
Tanzania	0.418	42.0	0.440	0.207	0.993
	(0.495)	(5.19)	(0.498)	(0.407)	(0.083)
Togo	0.250	35.4	0.800	0.696	0.207
	(0.437)	(6.71)	(0.403)	(0.464)	(0.409)
Uganda	0.182	43.3	0.588	0.549	0.811
	(0.388)	(5.48)	(0.494)	(0.499)	(0.393)
Total	0.306	40.0	0.540	0.531	0.759
	(0.461)	(7.79)	(0.499)	(0.499)	(0.428)

Appendix Table 2a: Means and standard deviations of teacher characteristics variables (7-year band pre-FPE)

Notes: Standard Deviations in parenthesis. See text for definition of variables. Sample includes only teachers hired within the 7 years prior to FPE.

				Teaching
	Secondary or more	Diploma or more	Bachelors or more	certification
Kenya	0.960	0.745	0.090	0.945
	(0.196)	(0.437)	(0.287)	(0.229)
Madagascar	0.690	0.356	0.149	0.200
	(0.465)	(0.482)	(0.359)	(0.402)
Mozambique	0.930	0.116	0.093	0.814
	(0.258)	(0.324)	(0.294)	(0.394)
Tanzania	0.974	0.233	0.164	0.991
	(0.159)	(0.424)	(0.372)	(0.093)
Togo	0.304	0.089	0.000	0.839
	(0.464)	(0.288)	(0.000)	(0.371)
Uganda	0.970	0.045	0.008	0.992
	(0.171)	(0.208)	(0.087)	(0.087)
Total	0.868	0.351	0.087	0.840
	(0.339)	(0.478)	(0.281)	(0.367)

Appendix Table 2b: Means and standard deviations of teacher characteristics variables (/-year band pre-FPE)
---

Notes: Standard Deviations in parenthesis. See text for definition of variables. Sample includes only teachers hired within the 7 years prior to FPE.

^ A A	Good	Good		Good	Share of	Absent	Absent
	teacher	pedagogical	Classroom	teaching	time	from	from
	demeanor	practices	environment	practices	teaching	school	classroom
Kenya	0.472	0.530	0.583	0.529	0.782	0.175	0.455
	(0.235)	(0.295)	(0.193)	(0.195)	(0.314)	(0.381)	(0.499)
Madagascar	0.654	0.489	0.627	0.590	0.508	0.351	0.390
	(0.213)	(0.149)	(0.209)	(0.121)	(0.154)	(0.480)	(0.491)
Mozambique	0.477	0.473	0.415	0.455	0.970	0.451	0.588
	(0.260)	(0.163)	(0.078)	(0.109)	(0.058)	(0.503)	(0.497)
Tanzania	0.186	0.578	0.479	0.414	0.879	0.129	0.457
	(0.133)	(0.137)	(0.126)	(0.055)	(0.200)	(0.337)	(0.500)
Togo	0.624	0.518	0.488	0.543	0.650	0.321	0.536
	(0.196)	(0.169)	(0.147)	(0.110)	(0.193)	(0.471)	(0.503)
Uganda	0.471	0.640	0.525	0.545	0.913	0.256	0.526
	(0.196)	(0.167)	(0.178)	(0.128)	(0.171)	(0.438)	(0.501)
Total	0.517	0.541	0.536	0.531	0.746	0.240	0.480
	(0.248)	(0.191)	(0.183)	(0.138)	(0.257)	(0.427)	(0.500)

Appendix Table 3: Means and standard deviations of classroom practice variables (7-year band pre-FPE)

Notes: Standard Deviations in parenthesis. See text for definition of variables. Sample includes only teachers hired within the 7 years prior to FPE.

					oundinnut						
	Bandwidth:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Pooled	Coef	0.025	-0.115	-0.149	-0.133	-0.212	-0.233	-0.240	-0.207	-0.188	-0.148
	SE	(0.205)	(0.152)	(0.125)	(0.110)	(0.100)	(0.092)	(0.086)	(0.079)	(0.075)	(0.072)
	Pval	0.902	0.448	0.232	0.224	0.035	0.012	0.005	0.009	0.012	0.040
Kenya	Coef	-0.733	0.183	-0.202	-0.230	-0.376	-0.404	-0.533	-0.435	-0.260	-0.255
	SE	(0.428)	(0.361)	(0.306)	(0.252)	(0.245)	(0.248)	(0.225)	(0.208)	(0.197)	(0.197)
	Pval	0.105	0.615	0.512	0.365	0.129	0.106	0.020	0.038	0.187	0.196
Madagascar	Coef	-0.082	-0.313	-0.172	-0.238	-0.286	-0.328	-0.333	-0.379	-0.314	-0.307
	SE	(0.476)	(0.347)	(0.286)	(0.244)	(0.214)	(0.202)	(0.191)	(0.174)	(0.159)	(0.153)
	Pval	0.863	0.370	0.548	0.332	0.184	0.105	0.082	0.030	0.049	0.046
Mozambique	Coef	-0.367	-0.526	-0.539	-0.446	-0.364	-0.169	-0.019	-0.004	-0.099	-0.108
	SE	(0.705)	(0.471)	(0.384)	(0.316)	(0.290)	(0.267)	(0.238)	(0.218)	(0.206)	(0.194)
	Pval	0.611	0.275	0.168	0.164	0.212	0.529	0.935	0.984	0.631	0.577
Tanzania	Coef	-0.030	-0.220	0.140	0.102	-0.299	-0.355	-0.272	-0.191	-0.192	-0.064
	SE	(0.366)	(0.323)	(0.291)	(0.248)	(0.245)	(0.210)	(0.201)	(0.172)	(0.172)	(0.159)
	Pval	0.935	0.498	0.630	0.682	0.224	0.092	0.178	0.268	0.266	0.689
Togo	Coef	0.259	-0.019	-0.215	-0.317	-0.268	-0.303	-0.278	-0.168	-0.122	-0.189
	SE	(0.429)	(0.309)	(0.276)	(0.243)	(0.230)	(0.227)	(0.224)	(0.225)	(0.215)	(0.191)
	Pval	0.551	0.952	0.438	0.196	0.248	0.186	0.216	0.458	0.571	0.324
Uganda	Coef	0.328	0.067	-0.149	0.174	0.151	0.090	0.023	0.095	0.013	-0.015
	SE	(0.509)	(0.406)	(0.315)	(0.259)	(0.247)	(0.229)	(0.212)	(0.196)	(0.184)	(0.180)
	Pval	0.523	0.870	0.637	0.503	0.541	0.696	0.915	0.629	0.945	0.935

Appendix Table 4a: RDD estimates of gaps in student language test scores associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (multiple bandwidths)

	Bandwidth:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Pooled	Coef	0.000	0.007	-0.020	-0.072	-0.079	-0.095	-0.088	-0.085	-0.067	-0.038
	SE	(0.181)	(0.130)	(0.109)	(0.094)	(0.087)	(0.080)	(0.077)	(0.070)	(0.067)	(0.064)
	Pval	0.999	0.957	0.853	0.444	0.367	0.233	0.251	0.226	0.312	0.546
Kenya	Coef	0.359	0.380	0.027	0.195	0.106	0.179	0.188	0.216	0.290	0.291
	SE	(0.281)	(0.289)	(0.238)	(0.221)	(0.205)	(0.188)	(0.180)	(0.171)	(0.161)	(0.160)
	Pval	0.218	0.196	0.909	0.381	0.608	0.343	0.298	0.207	0.073	0.071
Madagascar	Coef	0.295	0.051	0.094	-0.014	-0.096	-0.184	-0.175	-0.156	-0.103	-0.105
	SE	(0.388)	(0.290)	(0.239)	(0.210)	(0.185)	(0.173)	(0.165)	(0.152)	(0.139)	(0.134)
	Pval	0.450	0.862	0.694	0.946	0.604	0.287	0.288	0.306	0.458	0.431
Mozambique	Coef	-0.434	-0.215	-0.300	-0.335	-0.255	-0.159	-0.118	-0.167	-0.181	-0.130
	SE	(0.442)	(0.284)	(0.228)	(0.196)	(0.177)	(0.160)	(0.143)	(0.132)	(0.133)	(0.124)
	Pval	0.343	0.456	0.197	0.092	0.154	0.322	0.412	0.207	0.174	0.296
Tanzania	Coef	0.163	0.470	0.295	0.140	0.173	0.036	0.056	0.011	0.025	0.208
	SE	(0.585)	(0.435)	(0.374)	(0.286)	(0.264)	(0.238)	(0.224)	(0.203)	(0.203)	(0.187)
	Pval	0.783	0.284	0.432	0.626	0.514	0.880	0.803	0.957	0.903	0.268
Togo	Coef	0.324	0.048	-0.073	-0.142	-0.106	-0.135	-0.062	0.067	0.095	0.022
	SE	(0.385)	(0.269)	(0.260)	(0.217)	(0.209)	(0.207)	(0.215)	(0.209)	(0.190)	(0.169)
	Pval	0.407	0.859	0.779	0.516	0.612	0.515	0.773	0.749	0.619	0.897
Uganda	Coef	-0.572	-0.506	-0.303	-0.286	-0.275	-0.192	-0.234	-0.246	-0.263	-0.358
	SE	(0.310)	(0.245)	(0.211)	(0.182)	(0.170)	(0.159)	(0.152)	(0.143)	(0.134)	(0.130)
	Pval	0.073	0.044	0.156	0.120	0.109	0.228	0.125	0.087	0.051	0.007

Appendix Table 4b: RDD estimates of gaps in student math test scores associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (multiple bandwidths).

	Bandwidth:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Pooled	Coef	0.091	0.036	-0.083	-0.041	-0.041	0.004	-0.020	-0.015	-0.018	0.015
	SE	(0.164)	(0.117)	(0.100)	(0.090)	(0.082)	(0.075)	(0.070)	(0.065)	(0.062)	(0.060)
	Pval	0.578	0.760	0.407	0.651	0.620	0.957	0.774	0.815	0.775	0.803
Kenya	Coef	0.288	0.220	-0.078	-0.030	-0.014	0.024	-0.029	-0.021	0.000	-0.009
	SE	(0.397)	(0.296)	(0.237)	(0.201)	(0.184)	(0.170)	(0.158)	(0.149)	(0.137)	(0.137)
	Pval	0.471	0.458	0.741	0.883	0.938	0.887	0.854	0.886	0.998	0.946
Madagascar	Coef	-0.150	-0.114	-0.001	0.064	0.142	0.137	0.114	0.069	0.092	0.046
	SE	(0.267)	(0.193)	(0.163)	(0.138)	(0.120)	(0.113)	(0.109)	(0.106)	(0.096)	(0.093)
	Pval	0.575	0.556	0.994	0.644	0.241	0.229	0.296	0.513	0.339	0.623
Mozambique	Coef	-0.308	0.100	0.199	0.211	0.294	0.221	0.155	0.039	-0.017	0.159
	SE	(0.346)	(0.290)	(0.246)	(0.239)	(0.202)	(0.179)	(0.172)	(0.162)	(0.169)	(0.169)
	Pval	0.382	0.733	0.421	0.381	0.149	0.219	0.370	0.812	0.918	0.347
Tanzania	Coef	-0.314	-0.456	-0.612	-0.499	-0.504	-0.266	-0.257	-0.172	-0.178	-0.076
	SE	(0.435)	(0.295)	(0.241)	(0.215)	(0.193)	(0.174)	(0.153)	(0.137)	(0.137)	(0.124)
	Pval	0.472	0.124	0.012	0.021	0.010	0.128	0.095	0.210	0.194	0.544
Togo	Coef	0.054	0.321	0.237	0.080	0.047	-0.001	0.158	0.157	0.099	0.081
	SE	(0.464)	(0.344)	(0.305)	(0.260)	(0.259)	(0.265)	(0.245)	(0.232)	(0.241)	(0.222)
	Pval	0.909	0.356	0.441	0.759	0.856	0.997	0.521	0.499	0.682	0.714
Uganda	Coef	0.626	0.213	0.053	0.135	0.038	0.017	-0.014	0.042	-0.016	0.005
	SE	(0.392)	(0.297)	(0.269)	(0.231)	(0.219)	(0.199)	(0.187)	(0.173)	(0.163)	(0.161)
	Pval	0.115	0.476	0.845	0.559	0.863	0.933	0.939	0.809	0.924	0.978

Appendix Table 5a: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher language test scores associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (multiple bandwidths).

	Bandwidth:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Pooled	Coef	-0.277	-0.164	-0.200	-0.141	-0.127	-0.106	-0.096	-0.129	-0.134	-0.107
	SE	(0.177)	(0.134)	(0.113)	(0.098)	(0.089)	(0.082)	(0.074)	(0.069)	(0.065)	(0.062)
	Pval	0.118	0.222	0.077	0.148	0.151	0.194	0.193	0.062	0.039	0.085
Kenya	Coef	-0.803	-0.270	-0.497	-0.414	-0.297	-0.220	-0.183	-0.141	-0.098	-0.098
	SE	(0.414)	(0.290)	(0.239)	(0.204)	(0.186)	(0.172)	(0.153)	(0.143)	(0.132)	(0.131)
	Pval	0.057	0.354	0.039	0.044	0.112	0.204	0.233	0.326	0.459	0.455
Madagascar	Coef	0.295	-0.023	0.061	0.004	0.057	0.025	0.110	0.081	0.119	0.075
	SE	(0.264)	(0.185)	(0.168)	(0.146)	(0.135)	(0.122)	(0.117)	(0.114)	(0.105)	(0.100)
	Pval	0.269	0.902	0.718	0.978	0.672	0.835	0.347	0.475	0.260	0.455
Mozambique	Coef	-0.707	-0.248	-0.256	-0.128	-0.092	-0.119	-0.084	-0.231	-0.279	-0.197
	SE	(0.642)	(0.475)	(0.375)	(0.323)	(0.285)	(0.258)	(0.238)	(0.219)	(0.205)	(0.200)
	Pval	0.280	0.604	0.498	0.693	0.747	0.647	0.723	0.293	0.175	0.326
Tanzania	Coef	-0.764	-0.301	-0.366	-0.292	-0.409	-0.340	-0.326	-0.371	-0.374	-0.260
	SE	(0.397)	(0.284)	(0.239)	(0.209)	(0.182)	(0.168)	(0.148)	(0.135)	(0.135)	(0.121)
	Pval	0.058	0.291	0.128	0.163	0.025	0.044	0.029	0.006	0.006	0.033
Togo	Coef	-0.931	-0.233	-0.100	-0.275	-0.187	-0.226	-0.099	0.019	-0.018	-0.112
	SE	(0.900)	(0.645)	(0.537)	(0.471)	(0.447)	(0.435)	(0.400)	(0.379)	(0.360)	(0.327)
	Pval	0.310	0.719	0.853	0.561	0.677	0.605	0.806	0.960	0.960	0.733
Uganda	Coef	0.605	0.220	0.058	0.204	0.184	0.165	0.078	0.015	-0.091	-0.104
	SE	(0.325)	(0.275)	(0.249)	(0.216)	(0.207)	(0.190)	(0.180)	(0.166)	(0.152)	(0.151)
	Pval	0.067	0.426	0.815	0.346	0.374	0.386	0.665	0.928	0.552	0.489

Appendix Table 5b: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher language test scores associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (multiple bandwidths).

					7, and 10 y	carsj					
							"Perman				
							ent"	Secondar			Teaching
	D 1 14		<b>T</b> T 1		16.1	Born in	contract	y or	Diploma	Bachelor	certificati
	Bandwidth	<i>a</i> .	Urban	Age	Male	district	status	more	or more	s or more	on
Pooled	5	Coef	-0.024	1.697	0.025	0.030	-0.053	0.016	-0.009	-0.018	-0.050
		SE	(0.043)	(0.571)	(0.050)	(0.048)	(0.036)	(0.028)	(0.039)	(0.025)	(0.030)
		Pval	0.571	0.003	0.615	0.539	0.135	0.573	0.809	0.472	0.092
	7	Coef	-0.019	2.028	0.054	0.082	-0.025	0.017	0.016	-0.011	-0.020
		SE	(0.036)	(0.480)	(0.041)	(0.039)	(0.030)	(0.022)	(0.033)	(0.021)	(0.025)
		Pval	0.606	0.000	0.196	0.037	0.408	0.445	0.636	0.599	0.421
	10	Coef	0.050	2.326	0.053	0.086	-0.014	0.001	0.075	0.002	0.016
		SE	(0.031)	(0.421)	(0.036)	(0.034)	(0.026)	(0.018)	(0.028)	(0.017)	(0.022)
		Pval	0.108	0.000	0.141	0.011	0.581	0.947	0.007	0.922	0.475
Kenya	5	Coef	0.041	2.841	-0.129	-0.082	-0.071	0.032	0.055	0.034	-0.020
		SE	(0.092)	(1.297)	(0.100)	(0.097)	(0.080)	(0.025)	(0.091)	(0.048)	(0.068)
		Pval	0.661	0.030	0.200	0.400	0.377	0.200	0.546	0.478	0.770
	7	Coef	-0.064	2.997	-0.082	-0.003	0.012	0.029	0.112	0.033	0.082
		SE	(0.079)	(1.122)	(0.082)	(0.082)	(0.070)	(0.019)	(0.080)	(0.041)	(0.060)
		Pval	0.417	0.008	0.319	0.971	0.865	0.131	0.161	0.418	0.173
	10	Coef	0.063	4.483	-0.049	-0.054	0.130	0.038	0.295	0.028	0.303
		SE	(0.070)	(1.027)	(0.071)	(0.072)	(0.062)	(0.021)	(0.072)	(0.036)	(0.056)
		Pval	0.374	0.000	0.490	0.453	0.038	0.073	0.000	0.444	0.000
Madagascar	5	Coef	-0.170	3.288	0.089	0.112	0.033	-0.019	-0.006	0.007	-0.056
		SE	(0.121)	(1.848)	(0.132)	(0.124)	(0.102)	(0.116)	(0.121)	(0.088)	(0.093)
		Pval	0.161	0.076	0.500	0.368	0.745	0.870	0.959	0.941	0.546
	7	Coef	-0.186	3.084	0.132	0.151	-0.008	-0.073	-0.061	-0.065	-0.040
		SE	(0.107)	(1.595)	(0.117)	(0.108)	(0.089)	(0.102)	(0.105)	(0.077)	(0.086)
		Pval	0.083	0.054	0.260	0.163	0.924	0.479	0.564	0.398	0.647
	10	Coef	-0.144	2.129	0.080	0.167	-0.044	-0.184	-0.144	-0.066	-0.037
		SE	(0.092)	(1.359)	(0.099)	(0.090)	(0.078)	(0.088)	(0.089)	(0.064)	(0.070)
		Pval	0.121	0.118	0.420	0.064	0.569	0.039	0.106	0.298	0.593

Appendix Table 6: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher characteristics associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (bandwidths of 5, 7, and 10 years)

				(*******		,	"Permanent	Seconda			Teaching
						Born in	" contract	ry or	Diploma	Bachelor	certificati
	Bandwidth		Urban	Age	Male	district	status	more	or more	s or more	on
Mozambique	5	Coef	-0.070	-0.965	0.203	-0.168	-0.053	0.120	-0.263	-0.223	-0.408
		SE	(0.185)	(1.865)	(0.172)	(0.178)	(0.158)	(0.070)	(0.120)	(0.121)	(0.181)
		Pval	0.706	0.606	0.240	0.348	0.736	0.088	0.030	0.069	0.026
	7	Coef	0.097	0.870	0.244	0.081	0.114	0.057	-0.140	-0.119	-0.233
		SE	(0.148)	(1.764)	(0.146)	(0.154)	(0.130)	(0.062)	(0.097)	(0.093)	(0.150)
		Pval	0.516	0.623	0.096	0.597	0.380	0.353	0.153	0.205	0.123
	10	Coef	0.191	2.650	0.098	0.025	0.123	-0.017	-0.127	-0.120	-0.176
		SE	(0.117)	(1.509)	(0.123)	(0.130)	(0.106)	(0.059)	(0.084)	(0.076)	(0.121)
		Pval	0.104	0.081	0.426	0.850	0.248	0.768	0.133	0.114	0.147
Tanzania	5	Coef	-0.094	1.860	0.021	-0.016	0.001	-0.032	-0.069	-0.067	-0.004
		SE	(0.088)	(0.838)	(0.100)	(0.082)	(0.017)	(0.042)	(0.074)	(0.053)	(0.005)
		Pval	0.288	0.027	0.831	0.843	0.960	0.451	0.353	0.202	0.460
	7	Coef	-0.036	2.762	0.045	0.053	0.002	-0.009	-0.064	-0.034	0.003
		SE	(0.071)	(0.699)	(0.082)	(0.068)	(0.013)	(0.031)	(0.060)	(0.045)	(0.009)
		Pval	0.607	0.000	0.586	0.435	0.867	0.766	0.286	0.450	0.706
	10	Coef	0.037	2.557	0.114	0.073	0.007	0.001	0.048	0.031	0.001
		SE	(0.056)	(0.599)	(0.071)	(0.057)	(0.011)	(0.024)	(0.051)	(0.038)	(0.008)
		Pval	0.506	0.000	0.111	0.206	0.552	0.970	0.349	0.423	0.922
Togo	5	Coef	0.029	-1.475	0.039	0.100	-0.015	-0.034	-0.025	-0.070	-0.016
		SE	(0.167)	(2.387)	(0.135)	(0.175)	(0.064)	(0.182)	(0.143)	(0.041)	(0.183)
		Pval	0.861	0.538	0.773	0.567	0.810	0.854	0.860	0.086	0.931
	7	Coef	0.058	-1.150	0.133	0.003	0.133	0.144	0.007	-0.043	-0.030
		SE	(0.154)	(2.283)	(0.127)	(0.166)	(0.081)	(0.177)	(0.128)	(0.027)	(0.163)
		Pval	0.710	0.616	0.298	0.987	0.103	0.417	0.959	0.115	0.857
	10	Coef	0.137	-1.825	0.129	-0.105	0.051	0.212	0.161	0.004	-0.126
		SE	(0.132)	(1.883)	(0.116)	(0.140)	(0.075)	(0.140)	(0.108)	(0.010)	(0.130)
		Pval	0.302	0.334	0.269	0.452	0.492	0.133	0.140	0.663	0.336

Appendix Table 6 continued: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher characteristics associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (bandwidths of 5, 7, and 10 years)

				(bandwid	ths of 5, 7,	and 10 yea	urs)				
							"Perman				
							ent"	Secondar			Teaching
						Born in	contract	y or	Diploma	Bachelor	certificati
	Bandwidth		Urban	Age	Male	district	status	more	or more	s or more	on
Uganda	5	Coef	0.075	0.782	0.103	0.196	-0.163	0.028	0.028	0.027	0.006
		SE	(0.082)	(1.026)	(0.105)	(0.109)	(0.107)	(0.023)	(0.050)	(0.027)	(0.035)
		Pval	0.360	0.447	0.326	0.073	0.128	0.229	0.573	0.328	0.865
	7	Coef	0.037	0.837	0.120	0.193	-0.143	0.021	0.031	0.017	0.021
		SE	(0.074)	(0.846)	(0.091)	(0.091)	(0.092)	(0.020)	(0.041)	(0.022)	(0.035)
		Pval	0.619	0.323	0.186	0.034	0.121	0.296	0.456	0.427	0.541
	10	Coef	-0.010	0.908	0.092	0.217	-0.108	0.019	0.013	0.004	0.017
		SE	(0.065)	(0.689)	(0.076)	(0.079)	(0.076)	(0.014)	(0.034)	(0.018)	(0.028)
		Pval	0.874	0.189	0.227	0.006	0.155	0.179	0.717	0.812	0.539

Appendix Table 6 continued: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher characteristics associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (bandwidths of 5, 7, and 10 years)

			Good	Good		Good	Share of		
			teacher	pedagogical	Classroom	teaching	time	Absent from	Absent from
	Bandwidth		demeanor	practices	environment	practices	teaching	school	classroom
Pooled	5	Coef	0.011	0.041	0.025	0.026	-0.033	-0.196	-0.136
		SE	(0.031)	(0.025)	(0.026)	(0.019)	(0.028)	(0.070)	(0.081)
		Pval	0.714	0.104	0.327	0.167	0.246	0.005	0.095
	7	Coef	-0.008	0.046	0.032	0.023	-0.022	-0.173	-0.117
		SE	(0.027)	(0.023)	(0.022)	(0.016)	(0.026)	(0.060)	(0.072)
		Pval	0.767	0.046	0.139	0.148	0.388	0.004	0.105
	10	Coef	-0.010	0.022	0.024	0.012	-0.016	-0.133	-0.080
		SE	(0.022)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.014)	(0.022)	(0.052)	(0.061)
		Pval	0.654	0.244	0.203	0.377	0.463	0.011	0.189
Kenya	5	Coef	0.063	0.217	-0.056	0.075	-0.073	-0.247	0.224
		SE	(0.106)	(0.121)	(0.084)	(0.079)	(0.135)	(0.147)	(0.263)
		Pval	0.556	0.076	0.510	0.350	0.591	0.098	0.398
	7	Coef	0.050	0.210	-0.030	0.077	-0.021	-0.295	0.310
		SE	(0.094)	(0.108)	(0.075)	(0.073)	(0.120)	(0.132)	(0.220)
		Pval	0.600	0.054	0.690	0.294	0.859	0.028	0.162
	10	Coef	0.031	0.154	0.003	0.063	-0.034	-0.296	0.255
		SE	(0.079)	(0.091)	(0.064)	(0.061)	(0.100)	(0.116)	(0.185)
		Pval	0.698	0.091	0.960	0.307	0.737	0.011	0.169
Madagascar	5	Coef	-0.024	0.034	0.036	0.015	-0.006	-0.213	-0.266
		SE	(0.061)	(0.041)	(0.056)	(0.034)	(0.045)	(0.143)	(0.147)
		Pval	0.699	0.410	0.521	0.650	0.894	0.137	0.072
	7	Coef	-0.029	0.046	0.036	0.018	-0.005	-0.099	-0.155
		SE	(0.054)	(0.037)	(0.049)	(0.031)	(0.039)	(0.123)	(0.128)
		Pval	0.594	0.222	0.460	0.564	0.901	0.425	0.230
	10	Coef	-0.025	0.029	0.026	0.010	0.016	-0.101	-0.123
		SE	(0.045)	(0.032)	(0.041)	(0.025)	(0.033)	(0.104)	(0.108)
		Pval	0.586	0.357	0.520	0.681	0.626	0.335	0.256

Appendix Table 7: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher behaviors and teacher absence associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (bandwidths of 5, 7, and 10 years)

			Cood	TE (ound)	no or o, r, unu	Card	Chara of		
			Good	Good	Classes	Good	Share of	Alterent Correct	Alterent Correct
	Dan daari dala		leacher	pedagogical	Classroom	teaching	time	Absent from	Absent from
Mananaliana	Bandwidth	Cert	demeanor	practices	environment	practices		<u>school</u>	classroom
Mozambique	5	Coer	0.106	0.107	0.014	0.076	0.002	-0.329	-0.726
		SE	(0.097)	(0.078)	(0.027)	(0.044)	(0.018)	(0.274)	(0.250)
		Pval	0.276	0.176	0.611	0.090	0.905	0.235	0.005
	7	Coef	0.053	0.063	0.008	0.042	0.010	-0.184	-0.592
		SE	(0.086)	(0.066)	(0.027)	(0.039)	(0.018)	(0.234)	(0.221)
		Pval	0.538	0.340	0.765	0.292	0.568	0.432	0.009
	10	Coef	-0.019	0.037	-0.011	0.002	0.002	-0.013	-0.262
		SE	(0.073)	(0.053)	(0.023)	(0.033)	(0.017)	(0.190)	(0.188)
		Pval	0.797	0.485	0.651	0.941	0.886	0.947	0.164
Tanzania	5	Coef	0.013	0.026	0.020	0.020	-0.129	-0.110	-0.116
		SE	(0.042)	(0.053)	(0.059)	(0.031)	(0.073)	(0.190)	(0.238)
		Pval	0.754	0.629	0.737	0.529	0.078	0.564	0.629
	7	Coef	-0.022	0.080	0.044	0.034	-0.102	-0.187	-0.255
		SE	(0.038)	(0.046)	(0.051)	(0.024)	(0.064)	(0.152)	(0.200)
		Pval	0.573	0.080	0.385	0.156	0.116	0.221	0.206
	10	Coef	0.018	0.055	0.064	0.045	-0.067	-0.059	-0.108
		SE	(0.033)	(0.040)	(0.044)	(0.021)	(0.059)	(0.118)	(0.170)
		Pval	0.600	0.170	0.152	0.034	0.257	0.615	0.527
Togo	5	Coef	-0.053	-0.061	0.056	-0.020	0.087	-0.357	-0.333
C		SE	(0.080)	(0.073)	(0.068)	(0.052)	(0.093)	(0.159)	(0.188)
		Pval	0.511	0.402	0.415	0.709	0.348	0.028	0.081
	7	Coef	-0.055	-0.061	0.033	-0.028	0.069	-0.461	-0.364
		SE	(0.077)	(0.068)	(0.061)	(0.048)	(0.085)	(0.154)	(0.181)
		Pval	0.476	0.369	0.589	0.567	0.416	0.003	0.047
	10	Coef	-0.065	-0.053	0.068	-0.017	0.026	-0.405	-0.362
	-	SE	(0.062)	(0.059)	(0.052)	(0.040)	(0.069)	(0.146)	(0.159)
		Pval	0.297	0.371	0.200	0.680	0.710	0.006	0.025

Appendix Table 7 continued: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher behaviors and teacher absence associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (bandwidths of 5, 7, and 10 years)

			post-1		lis 01 <i>J</i> , <i>7</i> , and	io years)			
			Good	Good		Good	Share of		
			teacher	pedagogical	Classroom	teaching	time	Absent from	Absent from
	Bandwidth		demeanor	practices	environment	practices	teaching	school	classroom
Uganda	5	Coef	0.030	0.014	0.039	0.028	-0.070	0.104	0.413
		SE	(0.068)	(0.038)	(0.061)	(0.041)	(0.049)	(0.162)	(0.198)
		Pval	0.660	0.712	0.524	0.505	0.150	0.525	0.039
	7	Coef	0.016	0.007	0.052	0.025	-0.027	0.052	0.255
		SE	(0.059)	(0.039)	(0.053)	(0.037)	(0.049)	(0.140)	(0.171)
		Pval	0.788	0.852	0.331	0.505	0.573	0.712	0.140
	10	Coef	0.005	-0.026	-0.015	-0.012	-0.025	0.008	0.147
		SE	(0.049)	(0.035)	(0.045)	(0.032)	(0.041)	(0.117)	(0.146)
		Pval	0.916	0.460	0.747	0.714	0.540	0.943	0.315

Appendix Table 7 continued: RDD estimates of gaps in teacher behaviors and teacher absence associated with teachers hired pre- and post-FPE (bandwidths of 5, 7, and 10 years)